

## TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

**COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** Washington, D.C. 20231

CHICAGO IL 60604

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

B

C-35620

QM02/0705 DVORAK AND TRAUB 53 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD

**EXAMINER** 

LEO, L

**ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/737,042

Applicant(s)

Heed

Examiner

Leonard R. Leo

Art Unit **3743** 

The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
<ul> <li>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu-lift the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) disconsidered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	7 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status  1) $\square$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{Mar\ 25}$	9, 2001
2a) $\square$ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-11</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-11</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International B *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received.  have been received in Application No.  y documents have been received in this National Stage  ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)  15)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)  Other:

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/737,042

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed March 29, 2001 has been entered. Claims 5 and 7-11 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The "means plus function" recitation in the last paragraph of claim 9 is indefinite, in that, there appears to be a dual recitation of claim language.

Regarding claims 7-8 and 10-11, the recitation of "Said" should not be capitalized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 08/737,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACV (SU 800,500) in view of Hultgren.

ACV discloses a recuperative heat exchanger comprising a casing having inlet and outlet ports 2-5; a heat transfer package having a plurality of connected rectangular planar elements with corrugations extending the entire length and width thereof, the planar elements being folded in an accordion-like manner; but does not disclose corrugations greater than 45 degrees with respect to the longitudinal flow direction.

Hultgren discloses a heat exchanger comprising a casing 2 defined by top and bottom ends 3 and lengthwise 5 and widthwise 4 sidewalls having inlet and outlet ports 7-10; a heat transfer package 11 (20) having a plurality of connected rectangular planar elements 24 with corrugations 17, the planar elements being folded in an accordion-like manner; wherein the corrugations 17 extend at any angle with respect to the net flow path for the purpose of achieving a desired flow resistance or pressure drop (column 2, lines 34-41 and column 3, lines 24-30).

Since ACV and Hultgren are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Hultgren would have been recognized in the pertinent art of ACV.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in ACV corrugations extending at any angle with respect to

Serial Number: 08/737,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

the net flow path for the purpose of achieving a desired flow resistance or pressure drop as recognized by Hultgren.

Regarding claims 7-8 and 10-11, Hultgren discloses top and bottom end covering elements 13 (Figure 1, column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 2).

## Response to Arguments

The rejections in view of Davis and Jenssen are withdrawn.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743